

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,262	06/20/2003	Ye Liu	6565-66243	6713	
7590 01/23/2007 KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP			EXAMINER		
One World Tra			WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA		
Suite 1600 121 S.W. Salmo	on Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Portland, OR 9			1711	1711	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
, 3 MONTHS		01/23/2007	PAP	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/601,262	LIU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ana L. Woodward	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	tober 19,2006						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
,							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s)is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)∭ Claim(s) <u>/4-2</u> /is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	atent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·					

Application/Control Number: 10/601,262 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-13 and 28-46 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on March 1, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 14-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gao et al ("Synthesis and Characterization of Water-Soluble Hyperbranched Poly(ester amine)s from Diacrylates and Diamines").

The Gao et al reference discloses hyperbranched poly(ester amine)s synthesized from diacrylates, reading on the presently claimed bis(acrylate ester) monomer, and diamines, wherein the diamines contain a secondary amino group and a primary amino group, such as N-

ethylethylenediamine, 1-(2-aminoethyl)piperazine, reading on the presently claimed diamine monomer.

The disclosure of the reference meets the requirements of the present claims in terms of the types of materials added. Given the similarity of the reference products to those presently claimed, both in terms of types of reactants used and process of preparation, it is reasonable to presume that the former would inherently contain the same structural units having at least one secondary amine linkage and at least one tertiary amine linkage as the latter. The onus is shifted to applicants to establish that the product of the present claims is not the same as or obvious from that set forth by the reference.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed October 19, 2006 have been fully considered to the extent that the rejection based on Lynn et al has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,262 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 57/72/72-1009.

Ana L. Woodward Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711
